Reserve
Reserve

App. F. IX-4

1932-33
(VP)

File No. 72-32

Serial Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 & 11.

Previous References

VP 1-26, 12
VP 43-26-1-4

Later References.

VP. 54-35 (182)
VP 60-36 (163)

p 65 (E)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 11

Part B for May 1935

SUBJECT.

Revised estimate amounting to Rs 7200/= for the water supply scheme of Salimur Village Kankanhally Hy.

SC: 11) No L 7856-60 of 14-5-1935

The Revenue Commissioner is
Dupose
Dy. Commr. Bangalore Dt.
Dir. of Health
Compliance to Govt.
Pol: El: Bd: Bangalore

Sanction is ——

20/5/35

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34

Village, Karkala Tk

General and Revenue Secretariat | W.P. File No. 42 of 193 2. | [App. F. 111

Serial No.

1. From the Dy Commr, Mangalore Dist, No. C. 9. 1215/32-33 dt 29.5.33, with reference to a note prepd by the Dy Exe Engr, on a Scheme for water supply to Salmara in Karkala Taluk, costing Rs 9440/- including Rs 1440 of Temp Estt, Tools & Plant Charges, stating that the District is prepared to bear 1/3 of the Estimated Cost not exceeding Rs 3000 and half of total maintenance charges not exceeding Rs 200/- per annum & requests sanction to the following proposals of the Dist Board, viz:—

(i) Waiving of Rs 1440 of Temp Estt, & plants charges

(ii) Govt meeting 1/3 of the Cost, viz Rs 2666-10-8, the balance being borne by the Dist Bd. & Salmara V. Panchayat

(iii) Advancing a loan of Rs 8000, to Salmara V. P. (to enable the to make up their quota of Rs 2668 10 8) to be repaid in 10 annual instalments of Rs 1000 each with interest

1. Submitted for orders:- The Dy Commr states that further action will be taken if the Govt approve of the Scheme. The detailed Estimates are stated to be not yet ready

PS C.E. 3/6 5/6

2. The said Engineer may not be consulted regarding items (i) & (ii) referred to above

(Sd) [illegible] 15/7

3. Pl issue 15/7

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0246 -GPB—15,000—22-8-32

5283

V.P. File No. 1 E 26-6-33 of 1932

General and Revenue Secretariat

4. Forwarded with compliments and thanks to the Sanitary Engineer through the Director of Health for favour of opinion as proposals nos: (i) & (ii) referred to above.

By order
AswathaRao
for Sec to Govt

Gen. & Rev. Secretariat
Dy No 2469 32
16-6-33
GEN. & REV. SECTT.
1 JUNE 1933
H.B.A. BRANCH.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF HEALTH MYSORE.
Bangalore 21-6-33
G.O. No. 1349-3
File No.
Despatch No.

C 1349-V
20-6-33

5. Forwarded to the Sanitary Engineer with compliments for information & early return with his remarks

J. Krishna
Dep. Health Officer
19/6/33.

S.E. 103
32.33

6) Returned with compliments to the Director of Health. Govt may sanction the Establishment Tools + Plant charges as a special case and as a contribution to the scheme. Any additional Govt grant may be taken from the grant for "Sinking Water Works in Villages" which is administered by the Revenue Commissioner.

J. Mudaya
San. Engr.
21-6-33

5483 4:8:1

General and Revenue Secretariat | V.P. File No. 72 of 1932 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

e1349-4 Secy. File 22.6.33

7. Returns marked with compliments by the General Secretary to Govt. The Commissioner recommends that

1) the Works and Plant charges may be waived as a special case, being considered as Govt's contribution

and 2) further additional contribution may be appropriated from the "Miscellaneous Works Water Supply Grant" for 1st year, admissible by the Revenue Commissioner

GEN. 24 JUNE 1933 No. 1-S-Gen

Dy 26/6/33

Dy. Health 21/6/33 Dy

D.O. letter No: C2 1215/32-33 dt 19.7.33 requesting to be informed as to how the proposals re: the Salsette Water Supply Scheme stands.

Secretary's order on Butcher's letter dt. 8.8.33 20/7

Submitted with previous papers

DS 20/7

8. Submitted.

9. Orders are required regarding :-

(i) Waiving Establishment & tools charges amounting to Rs 1440/,

(ii) Grant of 1/3 of the cost of the scheme amounting to Rs 2666-10-8.

(iii) Loan of Rs 1000 below V.P.

10. As regards point (i), the Financial Dept has observed in another connection that it is not regular to waive the Estt & Tools charges, and that the proper course would be to sanction a Cash contribution to the extent of the Estt & tools charges, if Govt consider that such concession is necessary. Direction for orders. Whether a Cash contribution of Rs 1440 may be sanctioned & if so from what source.

11. As regards point (ii), whether the amount

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

~~grant allotted~~ say 1/3 of the Cost of the Scheme may be sanctioned from the Drinking water wells grant for this year is for consideration & orders

12. The loan of Rs 1000 to the Panchayat proposed is also for orders.

98 11/7 (13) Tools & Plant charges. Reference is invited to the Fin Secy's opinion in paras 11 & 12 of the note in the linked file. If the sum of Rs 1440 in the present case should be given as grant in aid in cash, it will perhaps have to be met out of the provision of Rs 35,000 for improvements to Water Supply in lieu or of Rs 50,000 for improvement of Loans to Minor Municipalities made under '30 Grants for Public Improvements'.

14. Grants Rs 2666-10-8. This is proposed by the D/Com to be met by Govt & the Sanit Engineer suggests that it may be given from the provision made in the budget for Drinking Water wells in villages (Rs 75,000). This grant is usually allotted among the Districts according to their needs & the sum referred to will have to come out of the amount allotted to the Bangalore District, if the proposal to meet it from that source is accepted. No allotment has been made yet out of the said provision, and I am proposed to consult the D/Com regarding the requirements of their District before distributing the grant.

15 Loan of Rs 1000 to the Village Panchayat. The procedure for raising loans by Village Panchayats is

XXX
Please put up today
Proposal to invite [illegible]
file containing [illegible]
(i) [illegible]
(ii) [illegible]
(iii) [illegible]
(iv) [illegible]
Rescinded vide the above refers
Rgs 83, 1783, 1853
21/7.

18
Rgd. The ruling [illegible]
25/7

Serial No.

B laid down in notification no 2.5770-V.B.60-1 Dt 4.3.1933, and the Revenue has got power to sanction loans up to Rs 1000. The question of the granting the loan of Rs 1000 has therefore to be referred to the Revenue

(19) (agree G 25/7) 16 It is stated that the balance of Rs 1667 representing the balance of the one-third share of the Village Panchayat will be met immediately out of the cash balance at the credit of the Panchayat. It is not known what the amount at its credit is The Revenue has perhaps to be consulted regarding this proposal also.

17 The detailed estimates of the scheme are stated to be not ready yet.

(20) Yes (Secy) 21/7

21 How about the other half of the [illegible] remaining charges which will be borne by the Rd. Construction Sg. that no further contribution will be made (apparently to the V Panchayat) for the Sandy beach scheme.

22 Have the Village Panchayat agreed to this proposal of the Distt Bd.

23 A full report may be called for.

25/7

Draft please 25/7

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

Scheme for water supply to Sathiatan village, Kankanhalli Taluk.

10 File No. 72 418 I of 1932

General and Revenu Secretariat

25 draft letters subs for consideration. Refce para 23

PS

25/7 Draft added to covering the other papers also

(sd Hegg) 26/7

27 Mrs Hegg

TYPING-SECTION 26 JUL. 1933 GENL. & REV SECTT.

To the Dy Comm, Bangalore Dist Nos L 2 P14 & 28.7.33 with reference to cl, calls for information as to whether the Village Panchayat has agreed to the proposal of the Dist Bd to meet half the maintenance charges & if so how the other half is proposed to be met and whether the sum of Rs 2667/- proposed to be contributed by Govt can be met from the allotment to be made this year to the Bangalore Dist out of the provision under Drinking Water wells & Intimates as regards the loan of Rs 1000/- applied for by the V.P. that the grant of the loan is within the powers of the Rev Comm under rules in force.

From, the D.C. Bangalore Dist., Letter No: C 2 (a). 1215/32-33 Dt 13.12.933.

States that the Rev. Comr. has been addressed in respect of the grant of a loan of Rs 1000 to Sathur Village Panchayat payable in 10 annual instalments of Rs 100 each and also for approving the proposal to meet Rs 1667 out of the Cess had sure at the

418 5/11

7

Serial No.

credit of the panchayat, and add that the first share of cost of Rs 2667 will be met out of the Drinking water wells grant given to the DC 8½, as the further report promised may be awaited.

DC 22/12 29 Yes 26/12

2/ 29/12/33

3 Letter no. G2. 1215/32-33 dy 22-12-33 from DC, Bezwada re stating that the Kolluru village panchayat have resolved agreeing to bear the other half of the maintenance charges of the scheme.

---

30 Filed with reference to paras 21-23 of the note supra.

B 31 In his letter no dy 13-12-33, the Dep. Com. states that the Rev. Comm. has been addressed in respect of the grant of a loan of Rs 1000 to the village panchayat and also for approving the proposal to meet Rs 1667 out of the cash balance at the credit of the panchayat.

32 The receipt of the Rev. Comm. Communica-

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 5131—GPB—15,000—12-10-33

2nd 5074/2

8

2911

4407

U/S File No. 72 of 1932

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

on the subject may be awaited, if any.

13 A draft letter is drawn in para 11 stating that his further report on the two points referred to is awaited. 8/1

34 Draft put up for approval 8/1

35 Issued 10/1

SECT. 10 JAN 1934

5

The D.C., Mysore

S 322 dt 17-1-34

States that his further communication in the matter is awaited.

✓

From the Dy Comm^r, Bangalore dtd No: C.2.A. 1215/33-34 & 22.3.34, forwards copy of his letter No C-2a 1215/32-33 & 22-3-34 to the Rev Comm^r furnishing additional information in connection with the loan of Rs 1000/- asked for by the Sahukar V.D.

36. Submitted with reference to the orders at para 33 above. The question of granting a loan is under correspondence & further information wanted by the Rev Comm^r is furnished by the Dy Comm^r in his letter of 22.3.34, copy of which is sent with the above letter. The loan has not yet been sanctioned. The letter

Sub B 24/1

G.O.R issued with 180 S 322 dt 24-2-34.

3094 √ 4407 / 4407

9

Serial No.	
—	does not see any thing about the Dt
—	printed orde - permitting the Panchayat to utilize
—	Rs 1667/- out of the closing balance to
—	make up the V. P. Quota of Rs 2667/- required
—	for financing the Water Supply scheme
—	37 - for orders whether the scheme may
—	be sanctioned
—	38 - References 13 to 27
—	BS 29/3 AW
—	39 The information not sent
	may be called for.
	AW 31/3
40	Draft submitted for consideration,
	AW 1/4
	41 Issue AW 2/4
6	The D. C. Bangalore Dist
	no L 7731 Dt 26.4.34 has been addressed to
	informing him that the question
	permitting the Panchayat to utilize
	a sum of Rs 1667/- out of the closing
	balance to make up the quota of the
	estimate of the scheme stands & that a
	reference may be made after the question
	is disposed of by the Revenue
7	from the Revenue ent
	no C 2158/33-34 Dt 26/28.4.34
	Recommends that, in the
	circumstances reported by the D.C.
	the scheme for Water Supply of work

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

TYPING 20 APR. 1934 GENL. & REV. SECTT.

WD 0431—GPE—15,000—1-1933

4407 5C20
f

VP.

File No. 72 of 1933

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

to Satnur village, Kankumalli & maybe Benchimen. That the loan of Rs 1000 asked for will be given Fanell after the scheme is approved by the Govt

4 Submitted for orders.

Sd 2/5/34 AN

4] The proposals are:—

(i) That Rs 1440 being the Est + Tools & Plant charges be banned by Govt.

(ii) That the balance of Rs 8000 be met equally by the DBPD, Village Panchayet & the Govt.

(iii) That the maintenance charges be met by the DBPD & the Village Panchayet in equal shares of Rs 200 each.

(i) Est + Tools & Plant charges. The Sanc Engineer has proposed that this maybe waived as a special case; please to pass Govt sanction against such waiving & waive the charges to be levied at the full rate of 18% in all such cases

(ii) The DBPD has agreed to meet one third of the cost and the Govt share viz Rs 2666-10-8, is proposed to be met out of the grant for drinking water Wells allotted to the Dt. for the current year; vide Dycan's letter dy 13.12.33. The Panchayet's share viz Rs 2666-10-8 is to be met out of the loan of Rs 1000 to be given by the Revenue for the purpose from the funds now available at its credit

(iii) The DBPD & the Village Panchayet have agreed to meet the maintenance charges in equal shares

Flag A

Flag B

In Water Supply Scheme to Jathanna village, Kankanahalli

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 2 of 1934 — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

4/4 The Rev Com recommends sanction to the Scheme

4/5 For orders.

Dy Secy

4/5

SECRETARY — 4 MAY 1934 — 3613 — Please file

Before the Hon'ble Minister for Finance

4/5/34

4/6 Pending orders on the general question of the Tools & Plant charges maybe reduced 7½ to 7½ and the cost may be split V.P. the District Board & Govt in equal proportions

4/7 The work maybe sanctd. The Govt may grant will be met from the Drinking water well grant to the Bangalore Dist

Second Member D--

Hon'ble Finance Secy

Fe 3175/5-5-34

5.5.

4/5

4/8 As already pointed out by me in similar cases previously, the proposal to waive or reduce the prescribed Establishment and Tools and Plant charges, is not financially acceptable. It should be included in the total cost out of which one-third may be required to be borne by the 3 Bodies -- Government, District Board and Village Panchayet Funds. If the District Board will not pay more than Rs 3,000/- and the Government more than one-third of the total cost, the Village Panchayet will have to bear also

8 12/6/34

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

In W.P. Suppl 50 Scheme to Tathmur village, Kankanahalli

General and Revenue Secretariat VV File No. 7 of 1933-34 [App. F. II—2

Serial No.

SECRETARY 4 MAY 1934 3613

Please file for reference

4/5/34

4 The Rev Com recommends sanction to the Scheme.

5 For orders

Dy Secy

4/5

6 Pending orders on the general question of the Tools & Plant charges maybe reduced 7½ to 7½ & the cost here bytes V.P. the Dist Board & Govt in equal proportions.

7 The work maybe sanctd. The Govt grant will be met from the Drainage works well grant in the Bangalore Dist Second Member

5.5.34 5/5

JE 3175/5-5-34

8 As already pointed out by me in similar cases previously, the proposal to waive or reduce the prescribed Establishment and Tools and Plant charges, is not financially acceptable. It should be included in the total cost out of which one-third may be required to be borne by the 3 Bodies -- Government, District Board and Village Panchayet Funds. If the District Board will not pay more than Rs 3,000/- and the Government more than one-third of the total cost, the Village Panchayet will have to bear also

8 12/6/34

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01536—GPB—7,500—5-4-34

the difference between one-third of the total cost and Rs 3,000 to be paid by the District Board.

49 The population of Satanur village is only 956 (998 males and 958 females). Whether an expenditure of about Rs 9500/- for water supply is not too high for such a small village is also for consideration. + whether a cheaper water supply scheme is possible

50 The ~~only~~ total outlay that can be incurred for the benefit of an area should have a reasonable proportion to the size of the place and its population and resources.

51 In the financial statement of the Satanur village panchayet, the amount of lighting charges is noted as Rs 282/- Whether this includes also the amount payable to the Electrical department towards minimum return of 5% return on Capital, is not clear. If this is not included, the extra amount payable on this account will have to be ascertained and taken into account, in arriving at the balance available for new items of expenditure.

52 In the above financial statement, the excess of normal receipts over normal expenditure is shown as Rs 184/- . If, out of the accumulated savings of Rs 2,030 a sum of Rs 1,667 is withdrawn towards initial expenditure, there will be a decrease of revenue of about Rs 50/- under Interest. Whether the balance will be sufficient to meet the amount payable to Government towards the loan of Rs 1,000 proposed to be sanctioned (both principal and interest), after

Serial No.

meeting the dues to the Electrical department and other dues, if any, has to be ascertained.

53 The rate of interest to be charged on the loan has not been specified by the Revenue Commissioner. The rate should be at least 5½% per annum. The rate proposed by the Village Panchayet viz., 5% cannot be accepted.

54 The Scheme can be sanctioned, only if the Village Panchayet is found able to meet the liabilities on account of electric lighting and other items of expenditure and has a sufficient balance to meet the initial and recurring charges on account of the water supply scheme. As both the initial and recurring charges are high and will put the village panchayet funds to a great strain, it is for consideration why attempts canno be made to get some contributions from some of the wealthy residents towards the capital outlay. In all such cases of locally useful concerns, it should be possible to get some substantial amount as contribution from the residents of the area.

22/6.

55 The D.E. will be consulted as also the Director of Panchayats.

Secretariat

22.6

56 23/6 55

Addl. Secy. please put up draft letter

25/6

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 23 JUNE 1934 No. 6727/1...

G.R.A.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01536—GPB—7,500—5-4-34

water supply - Satnur village 5020
Kankanahalli tq /L

C.P. File No. 5020/8/79 of 1932-32 General and Revenue Secretariat

A draft letter to the Revenue Comr is put up for approval

5g After issue, the file will be referred to the Director of Health for opinion regarding the feasibility of a cheap water supply scheme to the village Panchayet

for
29/6/34

6 The draft to the Rev Comr was issued

DW Reg 61

62 Issued

To the Revenue Comr.
No L 705 dated 30.7.34 calls for further information in the matter.

63 forwarded unofficially with compliments to the Director of Health in connection for favour of opinion on the point referred to at para 5g supra.

By order
A Subbarao
for Secy to Govt
Dev Department

DEVELOPMENT SECTION
30 JUN 1934
5379

TYPING SECTION
25 JUL 1934
GENL & REV. SECTT.

Dw 22-34
2/8/34

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF
HEALTH SERVICES, MYSORE
Date 3-8-34
Diary No. 160
Branch No.

5020.

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 193

U.O.-C 160-4
14/9/34

Serial No.

64 Retransmitted with compliments to the Secretary to Government, Development Department.

65 The present estimate of Rs. 9,500/- provides for water supply from a dug well 15' deep and 8' diameter, water being pumped from this well through a 2" galvanized main to an elevated tank close to the village. It is the 4000' of 2" galvanized main that takes up a good bit of money. If therefore a bore-well is sunk in the village, it will save on the piping. Of course, a bore well is a matter of speculation. But there is no reason why it should not be successful. A bore well scheme will cost Rs. 5,500/-. Details are as under.

1. Bore well 150' deep	..	Rs 1,000/-
2. 5 H.P. Engine and pump	..	Rs 1,500/-
3. Zinc sheet shed.	..	Rs 500/-
4. Distribution providing for 8 to 10 taps.	..	Rs 1,500/-
5. 3000 gallon capacity elevated tank.	..	Rs 500/-
	Total Rs.	5,500/-

66 As regards levy of tools and plant charges, there is a Government Order passed in 1932 under which Village Panchayet works are exempt from this levy. It is therefore not included in the estimate.

67 The District Board is realising a good return from the weekly shandy in Sathnur. Their promise to pay Rs. 3,000/- towards water supply scheme is therefore fully justified.

68 The Village Panchayet has to find Rs. 2,500/- The Panchayet may be asked to pay Rs. 1,500/- from their funds and the balance of Rs. 1,000/- made from the State grant under 30. Grants for Public Improvements, drinking water supply to villages.

Director of Health.
12/9/34

Water supply to Katnur village
920 Kankanathelli Pg

The Director of Health has proposed a less costly scheme for water supply to Katnur village. A borewell scheme as opposed to a dugwell scheme has been proposed to be financed as follows:—

1) Distt Board — Rs 3000/-
2) village Panchayat — Rs 1500/-
3) Govt Contribution — 1000/- (to be paid out of the grant of Rs 7500/- in the current year's state budget)

Total Rs 5500/-

This is the initial cost does not include Rs 500/- charges for P.W. Dowsing
It is presumed that the maintenance charges — recurring annually — will be the same as Rs 400/- to be met equally by the Distt Board & the village Panchayat

2) In order of the scheme may be sanctioned as above or the reply of the R.C to this Office letter dated 30.7.34 awaited.

1) Please put up the G.O of 1932 referred to at A on the last page exempting Village Panchayat works from payment of Govt Tools & Plant charges

for 15/9/34 AM/1919

2. The G.O. in question was looked for before the Section of the file on 15/9/34. But it was not forthcoming. Even today an attempt was made to trace it but to no purpose —

for 20/9/34 AM/1919

3) Distt calling for this same is put up

4) Issued vide memo

for memo Dt 27.9.34

TYPIST 25 SEP GEN

Water supply Salem village — Kankanadukki

5020

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 2 of 1933 — [App. F. III—

Serial No.

75 Resubmitted with reference to para 74 supra

for 27/9/34 MM 27/9

76 Before passing orders on the revised scheme proposed by the Director, the Rev Com^r refs to this office letter dt 30-7-34 may be awaited OR a copy of the Director's note sent thereon in Cal^r may be sent

MM 10-10-34.

Dewsbery) 29/9

77 Please put up draft MM 10/10

78 Submitted with a draft letter to the

for 10/X/34. MM 10/10/34

79 Issue MM 12/10

To. The Revenue Com^r.,

no L 2946 dated 29/X/34,

Fds a copy of the minute note of the Director of Health for opinion

10 From. The Revenue Com^r.

no C 4158/33-34 dt 4-5-35.

Forwards for sanction a revised estimate for Rs 7200 in

for 8/5/35

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

RECEIVED 1 OCT 1934 2022

GEN & REV DEPT

Water Supply Satnur village
Kankanahalli Tq

4035/2

File No. 72 of 1932-33 General and Revenue Secretariat

respect of the scheme of water supply to Satnur village, Kankanahalli Tq

2) Replies have not been furnished in respect of some points raised this office letter dated 23-X-34 (Flag-S).

This is not necessary in view of the revised proposal received Ans 14/3

3) The revised estimate is for Rs 7200/- as against the original one for Rs 9440/-. This is proposed to be financed as follows:-

1) Bangalore Distt Board — 2400/- (1/3 of Rs 7200/-)

2) Village Panchayet of Satnur 2400/- (to be paid at the time of Rs 4184 at its credit in the D.B. Accts

3) Govt Share —— 2400 (to be paid this year next year under the Drinking Water Works Grant)

4) The D.C., in recommending that the scheme be taken up immediately adds that the village Panchayet is in a position to bear the recurring maintenance charges of Rs 300/- out of its normal revenue and Rs 577/- the additional income by the proposed levy of water tax

5) Submitted for orders.

Jnr 14/3/35

The proposals may be sanctioned. No charges for supervision are leviable in the case under GO no 27136-45/V.P. 1-26-32 dt 9-4-1924

2

5

Saltur — water supply. 4035 MW 35

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 72 of 1932-33 — [App. E. III-2

Serial No.

For orders. The Dir of Health & Fy Secy may be consulted.

Dev Secy) AM 14/3

Yes MW 15-3 AM 15/3

Forwarded with compliments unofficially to the Director of Health for favouring remarks very early.

By order

Asstt Secy to Govt Dev Dept

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF HEALTH IN MYSORE. Bangalore, dated 20/3/35. D. O. No. — File No. 60-11 — Despatch No.

Retransmitted with compliments to the Secretary to Government, Development Department.

The further modified scheme costing Rs.7200/- may kindly be sanctioned. It would be necessary to have the full amount at the credit of the Sanitar Engineer, before the work is started, as otherwise there will be objections from the Comptroller.

Director of Health

Fair copy with compts

MW 21-3 AM 24/3

25/3/35.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Ge 35 2

File No. 72 of 193 2-33 General and Revenue Secretariat

It is not clear whether the exemption from the levy of establishment and tools and plant charges applies only to works wholly financed out of V.P. funds or applies also to works partly financed by Government in the shape of grant. In the latter case I have no objection to the revised scheme being sanctioned, if 1/3 of the initial expenditure can be financed by the V.P. and if the V.P. is found able to meet half the maintenance charges every year after meeting all other items of expenditure.

Dev. Secy. 17.4.35.

Fe 2019

The G.O. of 9.4.27 seems to be meant to apply to all Panchayat works whether financed wholly or partly from V.P. funds

The Dy Com has stated in his letter dy 15-2-35 to the Revenue that this can easily be done by the Panchayat.

MW. 18.4.

Please put up a Draft G.O. sanctioning the proposals of the Revenue

ADR 18/4

Draft G.O. is put up for sanction

6538 3/5/35

ADR 3/5/35

Dev Secy

Secretariat

ADR 3/5

MW. 10.5. AM/10/5

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 11 MAY 1935 No 6538

approved

Jon

14/5

Immediate

TYPING SECTION. 14. MAY 1935 GENL. & REV. SECT.

MW 14.5 AM/14.5

To the Revenue Com.
" D.C. Blue Dish
" Director of Health
" Comptroller
" President, Dist. Bd. Palni
Sanction No. 7856-60 dated 16.5.35 with copy of G.O.

In all future communications please quote
No.....C2a-1215/32-33.
Enc 1

Tel. Address.—"DEPCOM"
Post Box No 34

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

Dated, Bangalore the 29 th May .....193 3.

5783
L

FROM
K. V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

TO
H. V. Ramaswami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
General *Secretary,*
to the Government of Mysore.
Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 31 MAY 1933 No. 81 B 3-8

GEN. SEC. 1 JUN 1933 A.B. & C. BRANCH

Subject:— Water Supply Scheme of Satnur village, Kankanhalli taluk.

I have the honour to state that the Sanitary Executive Engineer has prepared a note on the water supply scheme for Satnur, Kankanhalli taluk, according to which the estimate of the cost of the scheme is as follows:--

1.	Well 15' deep and 8' diameter	Rs.700/-
2.	Motor-Shed	200/-
3.	Machinery	1500/-
4.	2" main 4000' long	4000/-
5.	Reservoir to hold 5000 gallons	1000/-
6.	Fountains and other works	600/-
	Total	Rs. 8000/-
7.	Establishment, Tools and Plants	1440/-
	Grand total	Rs. 9440/- or Rs. 9500/-.

The detailed estimates in this behalf are not ready as yet.

The Sub Division Officer, Closepet in his letter No.A1-239/32-33 dated 15-9-1932 proposes that (1) the establishment, tools and plant charges amounting to Rs. 1440/- may be borne by Government as the resources of the village panchayet are slender, (2) that the panchayet, Government and the District Board may meet the balance of Rs.8000/- in equal proportions, (3) that towards 1/3 of the cost due by the village panchayet, the panchayet will pay a sum of Rs.1667/- immediately out of the cash balances at its credit and that the balance of Rs.1000/- might be advanced to the panchayet as a loan by Government which would be discharged in ten annual instalments of Rs.100/- each together with interest due thereon,

(4) that the District Board may be directed to bear the running maintenance charges of Rs.200/- per annum out of the local shandy realisations.

The President, District Board was requested to furnish the opinion of the District Board as to the financial help they could render in the matterand he has sent a copy of the resolution of the Board wherein they have consented to bear 1/3 of the estimated cost of the scheme notexceeding Rs.3000/- and to bear half the cost of the total maintenance charges not exceeding Rs.200/- per annum. (A copy of the District Board resolution is enclosed for reference).

I request you to be so good as to obtain orders of Government (1) in respect of meeting the Government's quota of cost viz., Rs.2666-10-8 and (2) for advancing a loan of Rs.1000/- to the village panchayet of Satnur to be recovered in ten annual instalments and (3) for waiving the establishment tools and plant charges amounting to Rs.1440/- as a special case.

If the Government approve of the scheme, further action will be taken in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S. Rangaswamy

For Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.
(Absent from Station.)

PROCEEDINGS OF THE SECOND ORDINARY MEETING OF THE BANGALORE DISTRICT BOARD HELD ON SATURDAY THE 5TH AND SUNDAY THE 6TH NOVEMBER 1938.

xx

5. Letter No. C. 500/31-38 dated 18th March, 1938 from the Amildar Kankanhalli Taluk, for contribution of at least Rs. 3,000/- from the Board for sinking a well near Hosahalli and pumping water to a reservoir to be constructed in the village of Satnur for its use.

RESOLUTION OF THE STANDING COMMITTEE:- Not possible for want of funds.

RESOLUTION:- 1/3 of the estimated cost not exceeding Rs. 3,000/- may be contributed by the Board. The amount to be paid on the presentation of bills. Further resolved that half the cost of total maintenance charges not exceeding Rs. 200/- per annum be sanctioned. No further contribution will be made out of the shandy realisations.

xx xx xx

Sd/- V. Venkatappa,

President,
District Board.

"Copy"

V. Venkatappa

= President,
District Board.

M/9/38.

Deputy Commissioner.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE
BANGALORE.

D. O. No. C2a-1215/32-33. Dated 19th July 1933.

GEN. & REV. SECT.
21 JULY 1933
L. S. G. BRANCH.

Dear Sir,

I write to invite your kind reference to this office letter No. C2a-1215/32-33 dated the 30th May 1933 re. the Water supply scheme for Sathanur, Kankanhalli taluk and request you to inform me as to how the matter stands at present.

Yours sincerely,

For Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.
(Absent from Station)

S. N. Appanna Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

extra copy

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No.L. 814/V.P. 72-32-2 Dated, Bangalore, 28th July 1933.

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.C2.A. 1215/32-33, dated 29th May 1933, regarding the scheme for water supply to Sathanur, Kankanhalli Taluk, I am directed to state that the District Board, Bangalore, have resolved that half the cost of total maintenance charges not exceeding Rs.200/- per annum may be sanctioned. It is not clear whether the Village Panchayet of Sathanur has agreed to the above proposal and how the other half of the maintenance charges is proposed to be met. I am to request you to be so good as to forward a fuller report in the matter. I am also to enquire if the sum of Rs.1,667/- proposed to be paid by Government towards the scheme can be met out of the allotment that will be made to the Bangalore District, out of the provision for Drinking Water Wells during the current year.

Regarding the sum of Rs.1,000/- which is recommended to be granted as a loan to the Satnur Village Panchayet for meeting its share of the cost, I am to say that the grant of the loan is within the powers of sanction of the Revenue Commissioner under the rules issued with Notification No.L.5770/V.P. 60-31-5 dated 2/3rd March 1933, and that he may be addressed

~~be~~ addressed in the matter as well as on the proposal to meet Rs.1,667/- out of the cash balance at the credit of the Panchayet.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Asubba Rao

For General Secretary to Government.

K.".R.2/26-7.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section V 8

File No. 72-32

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 2 814

To

The Dy Commr
Bangalore Dist

Sir.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 2.8.7.33

Signed by Mr A. Seshia Rao

With reference to your letter No C2.A 1215/32-33 dt 29.5.33 regarding the scheme for water supply to Sathanur, Kankanhalli Taluk, I am directed to state that the Dist Bd, Bangalore, have resolved that half the cost of total maintenance charges not exceeding Rs 200/- per annum may be sanctioned. It is not clear whether the Village Panchayet of Sathanur has agreed to the above proposal and how the other half of

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

me maintenance charges
are proposed to be met. I
am to request you to see
to it so as to forward a
fuller report in the matter.

Dravidian

Draft
BS

I am also to enquire
if the ~~Collector~~ sum of Rs 2667
proposed to be paid by Govt towards
the Scheme can be met out
of the allotment that will be
made to the Bangalore Dr, out
of the provision for Drinking
Water Wells during the Current
Year.

Regarding the ~~one~~ sum
of Rs 1000 which is ~~proposed to~~ recommended to
be granted as a loan to the
Satnur Village Panchayet for
meeting ~~its~~ relationship its share of the cost
I am to say that the grant
of the loan is within the powers
of Sanction of the Revenue Dr
the rules issued with reference
no L.S 770/V.B 60-31-5 dt
13-3-1933 & that he
might addressed in the
matter as well as on the

proposal to meet
Rs 1667 out of the
2m balance at the
credit of the Panchayet

Thanks
10/7
Mr Sec to Govt
ebh.

22 MR

2911

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

In all future communications please quote
No. C2(a) 1215/32-33.
Enc.

Tel. Address.—"DEPCOM"
Post Box No. 34.

Dated, Bangalore, the 13th December *1933*.

VP 72-32-2

GEN. & REV. SECTT.
16 DEC. 1933
L. & G. BRANCH.

FROM

K.V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

H.V. Ramaswami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, B a n g a l o r e .

GENERAL SECTT.
14 DEC. 1933
No. L.S.-8

Sir,

Subject:—

With reference to your letter No.L.314/V.P.72-32-2 dated 28-7-1933 re: the scheme for water supply to Satnur, Kankanhalli taluk, I have the honour to state that the Revenue Commissioner has been addressed in respect of the grant of a loan of Rs.1000/- to Satnur village Panchayet payable in ten annual instalment of Rs.100/- each and also for approving the proposal to meet Rs.1667/- out of the cash balance at the credit of the panchayet.

Regarding the Government share of cost of Rs.2667/- towards the scheme, the same will be met out of the Drinking Water Wells grant given to this District for the current year. A report has been called for from the Sub-Divisic Officer, Closepet as to whether the Panchayet is agreeable to bear the other half of the maintenance charges of the scheme. On receipt of his report further communication will be made in the matter.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant

K V Anantaraman

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

SVS.13-12-33.

Mr. BR

V-p 72-32-34

In all future communications please quote
No. C2a-1215/32-33
Enc

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

Dated, Bangalore, the 22nd Dec. *1933.*

3094

FROM

K. V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

GEN. & REV. SECT.
27 DEC. 1933
H. & G. BRANCH.

To

H. V. Ramaswami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

SECTT.
23 DEC. 1933
No. C-S-3

SIR,

Subject:—

In continuation of this office letter No. C2a-1215/32-33 dated 14-12-1933 regarding the scheme for water supply to Satnur village, Kankanhalli taluk, I have the honour to state that the Satnur village panchayet have passed a resolution on 2-5-1933 agreeing to bear the other half of the maintenance charges of the scheme. Copy of the resolution received with the Closepet Sub Division Officer's report No. A1-239/32-33 dated 10-12-1933 is herein enclosed for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

SS/- 22-12-33.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. L 5322
U.P 72-32-5

Bangalore, Dated, 10th/17th January 1934.

From

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No,C.2.1215/32--33 dated the 22nd December 1933 regarding the scheme of water supply to Satnur Village, Kankanhalli taluk, I am directed to state that you have stated in your letter No.C.2.(a) 1215/32-33 dated the 13th Decr. 1933 that the Revenue Commissioner has been addressed in respect of the grant of a loan of Rs.1,000/- to the Village Panchayet payable in 10 annual instalments and also for approving the proposal to meet Rs.1,667/- out of the Closing Balance at the credit of the Village Panchayet. Your further communication in the matter is awaited.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Subbarao

for GENERAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

V.V.K.2.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section V. P

File No. 72-32

Serial No. 5

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. L 5322

To

The Collector, Bijapur

Sir;

In reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no. C-2-(a)115/32-33 dt 22-12-33 stating that the scheme for water supply to Salimath village, Indi Taluk, I am directed to state that you have stated in your letter no. C.2-(a)115/32-33 dt 13-12-33 that the Rev. Govt has been addressed in respect of the grant for a loan of Rs 1000 to the village panchayat Jogapur for annual instalment and also for approving the proposal to meet Rs 1667/- out of the closing balance at the credit of the village panchayat

Date of issue ..17-1-34..

Signed by ..M.V. P.S.Rao..

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

WD 0677—G P B—15,000—8-11-33

communication

3472V 4057

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

In all future communications please quote
No. L.C. 8711/33-34
Enc

Tel. Address.—"DEPCOM"
Post Box No 34.

Dated, Bangalore, the 24th February *1934*

FROM

K. V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

The Hon'ble Mr. H. V. Ramaswami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
General *Secretary,*
to the Government of Mysore.
Bangalore.

SECRETARIAT 26 FEB. 1934 No. S.S.

SIR,

Subject.

With reference to your D.O. reminder No.L.6372 dated the 19th January 1934 regarding water supply to Sathnur Village, Kankanhalli taluk, I have the honour to state that it is reported by the Amildar that the formalities prescribed in the Government notification had not been observed and that necessary action is being taken in this behalf. The Amildar has been asked to expedite the proceedings and to submit a final report very early.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.
(Absent from Station)

Further communication from the boy cannot maybe awaited

SS/24-2-34.

Issued with another D.O.

Issued Vide 6802.

TYPING SECTION, 14. MAR. 1934, GEN. SECTT.

In all future communications please quote—
No. C2a-1215/33-34.
Enc.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

Dated, Bangalore, the 22nd March *1934.*

VP 72-32. 4407

FROM

K. V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

GEN & C.I.T.
20 MAR. 1934
L.S.G.

S. Abdul Wajid, Esq., B.A.,
General Secretary,
to the Government of Mysore.
Bangalore.

DEPT. 23 MAR. 1934

Sir,

Subject:—

In continuation of this office letter No.F.C.8711/33-34 dated 24-2-1934 regarding the water supply scheme to Sathnur village, Kankanhalli Taluk, I have the honour to enclose herein a copy of this office letter No.C2a-1215/32-33 dated 22-3-1934 for favour of the information of Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

SS/22-3-34.

In all future communications please quote
No. C2a-1215/32-33.
Enc. One file.

Tel. Address.—"DEPCOM"
Post Box No. 34,

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT

Dated, Bangalore, the.....22nd March...*193*4

FROM

K. V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

N. Madhava Rao, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Revenue *Commissioner in Mysore,*
Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject:—

With reference to your letter No.C.2158/33-34 dated 10-1-1934 calling for certain particulars in respect of the grant of a loan of Rs.1000/- to the Sathnur village panchayet in Kankanhalli Taluk for meeting the expenditure connected with the water supply scheme for Sathnur village, I have the honour, to note in the margin the information determined*

*

	Rs. A. P.
1. The accumulated surplus at the credit of the panchayet is	2030-12- 0
2. The average annual income (including realisations from optional tax amounting to Rs.112-8-0 for the sanction of which proposals have been submitted) comes up to.....	753-15- 5
and 3. The normal expenditure (including half the maintenance of the water supply scheme viz., Rs.200/- after the sanction of the scheme).....	569- 0- 0

The Sub Division Officer, Closepet Sub Division reports that all the formalities prescribed in the Government notification referred to in your letter under reference for raising a loan under Section 40 (a) of the Village Panchayet Regulation have been observed. A copy of the resolution of the panchayet is also enclosed in the records. The panchayet have also agreed to bear the other half of the maintenance charges of the scheme.

p.t.o.

connected file of records received with the Closepet sion Officer's No.A1-239/32-33 dated 13-3-1934 is nclosed for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd/-K. V. Anantaraman,

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

"Copy'

For Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

SS/22-3-34.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section VP

File No. 72-32

Serial No. 6.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. L 7731

To the ~~Deputy~~ Comm^r, Bangalore

Sir,

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

with reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no C_2 A 1215/334 dt 22.3.34 regarding the water supply scheme to Sathnur village, I am directed to state that the copy of your letter to the R.C. enclosed with your letter above refers only to the grant of a loan of Rs 1000/- to the Village Panchayat. I am to request you to kindly state how the question of ~~state~~ It has not been stated permitting the Panchayat to utilize a sum of Rs 1,667/-

Date of issue 26-4-34

Signed by L. A. Subba Rao

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

out of the closing balance
to make up its quota of the
Cost of the Scheme stands.
A defee may be made to Govt after both the above questions
have to are disposed of by the R.C.
the matter may be
created on report

Note L. Secy to Govt
Dev. Dept.
M/ MB

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. L 7731/VP 72-32-6 Bangalore, Dated, 23rd April 1934

From

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. C.2. A. 1215/33-34, dated 22nd March 1934, regarding the water supply scheme to Sathnur village, I am directed to state that the copy of your letter to the Revenue Commissioner enclosed with your letter refers only to the grant of a loan of Rs. 1,000/- to the Village Panchayet. It has not been stated how the question of permitting the Panchayet to utilise a sum of Rs. 1,667/- out of the closing balance to make up its quota of the cost of the scheme stands. A reference may be made to Government after both the above questions are disposed of by the Revenue Commissioner.

The matter may be treated as urgent.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

TRM.2

Mr G-PR.

5020
L

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE,

No. C.2158/33-34.
one + one file

Dated, Bangalore, 26th April ..... *193* .4

28 APR 1934 GEN. & REV. SECTT.

1 MAY 1934 L.S.G. Bng. 4

FROM

N. Madhava Rao. Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

RP 72-32-4

To

S. Abdul Wajid.Esq., B.A.,
General Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

DEVELT. 28 APR. 1934

Sir,

Supply of water to Satnur Village Panchayet in Kankanhalli taluk re -

Secy 30/4

In forwarding herewith copies of the marginally noted letters received from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, regarding the water supply scheme to Satnur Village Panchayet, I have the honour to state that in the circumstances reported I agree with the Deputy Commissioner, that the need of supplying water to the Satnur Village Panchayet is very great and recommend that the scheme proposed by the Deputy Commissioner for this purpose may be sanctioned.

C2.(a) 1215/32-33 dated 1-12-1933
C2.(a) 1215/32-33 " 22- 3-1934.

2. If Government approve of the scheme and agree to bear 1/3rd the estimated cost of the Scheme as proposed by the Deputy Commissioner, the loan of Rs 1000/- asked for by the Village Panchayet will be sanctioned so that the scheme may be taken in hand as early as possible.

3. The records accompany.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

N. Madhava Rao
Revenue Commissioner.

G.R.

PBB

Letter No.C2.a 1215/32-33 dated 1st December 1933, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

Water supply scheme of Satnur village, Kankanhalli taluk.

I have the honour to state that the Sanitary Executive Engineer has prepared a noted on the water supply scheme for Satnur, Kankanhalli taluk, according to which the estimate of the cost of the scheme is as follows:-

1. Well 15' deep and 8' diameter	Rs 700/-
2. Motor shed.	200/-
3. Machinery.	1500/-
4. 2 "Mani 4000' long	4000/-
5. Reservoir to hold 5000 gallons.	1000/-
6. Fountains and other works.	600/-
Total.	8000/-
Establishment, tools and plant charges.	1400/-
Grand total.	9400/- or 9500/-

The detailed estimate in this behalf is not ready yet. The Sub Division Officer, Closepet in his letter No.A1.239/32-33 dated 15-9-1932 proposed that the establishment, tolls and plant charges amounting to Rs 1440/- might be borne by Government as the resources of the panchayet are slender (2) that the panchayet, Government and the District Board may meet the Balance of Rs 8000/- in equal proport (3) that towars 1/3 of the cost due by the Village Panchayet the panchay panchyet will pay a sum of Rs 1667/, immediately out of the cash balance at its credit and that the balance of Rs 1000/- might be advanced to the panchayet as a loan by Government which would be discharged in ten annual instalments of Rs 100/- each together with interest due thereon. (4) that the District Board may be directed to bear the running maintenanee charges of Rs 200/- per annum out of the local shandy realisationss

The District Board have agreed to bear 1/3 of the estimated cost of the scheme not exceeding Rs 3000/- and to bear half the cost of the total maintenance charges not exceeding Rs 200/- per annum.

The General Secretary to Government who was addressed to obtain orders of Government (1) in respect of meeting the Government's quota of cost viz., Rs 2666-10-8 (2) for advancing a loan of Rs 1000/- to the Village Panchayet to Satnur to be recovered in ten annual instalments

and (3) for waiving the establishment, tools and plant charges amounting to Rs 1440/- as a special case, has observed in his letter No.L.814/V.P. 72-32-2 dated 29-7-1933 that the proposal for sanctioning a loan of Rs 1000/- to the panchayet for meeting its share of cost is within the powers of sanction of the Revenue Commissioner and that he may be addressed in the matter as well as on the proposal to meet Rs 1667/- out of the cash balance at the credit of the Panchayet.

I therefore request you to kindly advance a sum of Rs 1000/- to the Satnur village panchayet as a loan to be paid in ten annual instalments of Rs 100/- each with interest due thereon and to meet Rs 1667/- out of the case balance at the credit of the panchayet.

I have also called for a report from the Sub Division Officer, Closepet as to whether the Village panchayet concerned is willing to bear the other half of the maintenance charges of the scheme.

On receipt of his report a further communication will be made to you.

Letter No.C2.a.1215/32-33 dated 22-3-1934, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your letter No.C.2158/33-34 dated 10-1-1934 calling for certain particulars in respect of the grant of a loan of Rs 1000/- to the Sathnur village panchyet in Kankanhalli taluk for meeting the expenditure connected with the water supply shheme for Sathnur village, I have the honour to note in the margin the information required.

1. The accumulated surplus at the credit of the panchayet is------ ..... Rs 2030-12-0

2. The average annual income (including realisations from optional tax amounting to Rs 112-8-0 for the sanction of which proposals have been submitted comes up to- 753-15-0

3. The normal expenditure (including half the maintenance of the water supply shcme viz.Rs 200/- after the sanction of the scheme..... ....569- 0- 0

The Sub Division Officer, Closepet Sub Division reports that all the formalities prescribed in the Government notification referred to in your letter under reference for raising a loan under Section 40(a) of the Village Panchayet Regulation have been observed. A copy of the resolution of the panchayet is also enclosed in the records. The Panchayet have also agreed to bear the other half of the maintenance charges of the scheme.

The connected file of records received with the Closepet Sub Division Officer's No.A1.239/32-33 dated 13-3-1934 is herein enclosed for reference

---

Copies.

For Revenue Commissioner.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Bangalore, Dated, 20th July 1934.

No. L 705 / VP 72-32-8

From

The *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Development Department.*

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.C.2158/33-34 dated the 26/28th April 1934 regarding the scheme of water supply to Satnur Village Panchayet in Kankanhalli Taluk, I am directed to state that as the proposal to waive or reduce the Establishment, Tools and Plant charges is not acceptable, it will have to be included in the total cost to be borne in equal proportions by the Government, the District Board and the Village Panchayet. As the District Board will not pay more than Rs.3000/- and the Government more than one-third of the total cost, the Village Panchayet will have to bear also the difference between one-third of the total cost and Rs.3000/- to be paid by the District Board.

In the financial statement of the Satnur Village Panchayet the amount of lighting charges is noted as Rs.282/-. Whether this includes also the amount payable to the Electrical Department towards minimum return of 5% return on capital is not clear If this is not included, the extra amount payable on this accoun will have to be ascertained and taken into account in arriving at the balance available for new items of expenditure.

Further in the same statement, the excess of normal receipts over normal expenditure is shown as Rs.184/-. If out of the accummulated savings of Rs.2030/-, a sum of Rs.1667/- is withdrawn towards initial expenditure, there will be a decrease of revenue of about Rs.50/- under interest. Whether the balance would be sufficient to meet the amount payable to Government towards the loan of Rs.1000/- proposed to be sanctioned (both principal and interest) after meeting the dues to the Electrical

Department and other dues if any, has to be ascertained. I am to request you to kindly ascertain and furnish definite information on the above points.

I am to add that the scheme can be sanctioned only if the Village Panchayet agrees to pay interest on the loan at 5½% and is found able to meet the liabilities on account of electric lighting and other items of expenditure and has a sufficient balance to meet the initial and recurring charges on account of the water supply scheme. As both the initial and recurring charges are high and will put the Village Panchayet funds to a great strain attempt should be made to get some contribution from some of the wealthy residents towards the capital outlay, as in all such cases of locally useful concerns, it should be possible to get some substantial amount as contribution from the residents of the area.

In view of the fact that the population of Satnur Village is only 1956, the question as to whether an expenditure of Rs.9500/ for water supply is not too high for such a small village and whether a cheaper water supply scheme is not feasible is being referred separately to the Director of Health for consideration.

The file of records received with your letter under reply is herewith returned for reference and retransmission.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Ajuba Rao

for Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

L 705/V.P. 72-32-8

To 30-7-34

The Revenue Comr in
Mysore

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no C 215/33-34 dated the 26/28th April 1934 regarding the scheme of water supply to Satnur village Panchayet in Kankanadi Taluk, I am directed to state that, as the proposal to waive or reduce the Establishment & Plant charges is not acceptable it will have

included in the total
cost to be borne
in equal proportions by the
Govt, the Distt Board & the
village Panchayet. As
the Distt Board will not
pay more than Rs 3000/
and the Govt more than one-third
of the total cost, the
village Panchayet will
have to bear also the
difference between one-third
of the total cost and Rs 3000/- to be
paid by the Distt Board.

In the financial statement
of the Saturia village
Panchayet, the amount of
lighting charges is noted as
Rs 288/. Whether this
includes also the amount
payable to the Electrical
Department towards minimum
[illegible] of 5% return on capital

-is not clear. If
this is not included,
the extra amount payable
on this account will have
to be taken into account
as estimated
in arriving at the
balance available for
new items of expenditure.

Further in the same
statement, the excess
of normal receipts
over normal expre. is
shown as Rs 184 l. If
out of the accumulated
savings of Rs 2030 l,
a sum of Rs 1667 l. is
withdrawn towards
initial expenditure,
there will be a decrease
of revenue of about
Rs 50 l under interest.
Whether the balance
would be sufficient
to meet the amount

payable to Govt
towards the loan of
Rs 1000/- proposed to
be sanctioned (both
principal & interest)
after meeting the
dues to the Electrical
Deptt & other dues, if
any, has to be ascertained.
I am to request you to kindly send me
furnish definite informatn
on the above points.

I am to add that the
scheme can be sanctioned
only if the V.P.C. is found
able to meet the liabilities
on account of electric
lighting and other items
of expenditure and has
a sufficient balance to
meet the initial &
recurring charges on
account of water supply
scheme. As both the

agree to pay interest on the loan at 5½% p.a.

initial & recurring
charges are high will
put the V.P funds to
a great strain, attempts
should be made to get
some contribution from
some of the wealthy
residents towards the
capital outlay, as
in all such cases
gradually useful areas
it should be possible
to get some substantial
amount as contribution
from the residents of the
area. In view of the
~~Conference~~ fact that the
population of Satmur
village is only 1956,
the question as to whether
an estimate of Rs 9500/-
for water supply is
not too much for a

such a small village
and whether a cheap
water supply scheme is
not feasible as being
referred separately to
the Director of Health
for consideration

The file of records
received with your
letter under reply
is herewith returned
for reference &
return transmission

I have
the
honour to be
Sir
Your Obedient
Servant

L. 2284 dt 27/9/34

To,

The Dir of Health

Sir

I am directed to invite reference to the correspondence ending with your endst noted as 160-4 14.9.34 proposing a modified scheme of water supply to Satnur village, Kankavali Taluk, and to request you kindly to furnish us the following particulars to enable us to state whether the G.O. dt 1932 would be applicable to the Panchayat works in question and whether they are exempt from the levy of tools & plant charges. The favour of an urgent reply is requested. I have etc

for Secy to Govt

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH.

J. V. KARVE, M.B., CH.B., D.P.H.,
Director of Health.

Telephone No. 340

Telegram : HEALTH

15'98
L

C 160-6

Encl. one

"GRACE VILLE," PALACE ROAD,

Bangalore (S. India), dated October 10, *193* 4

J. V. KARVE, ESQ., M.B., CH.B. (EDIN.), D.P.H. (LOND.),
Director of Health with the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

FROM 11 OCT. 1934
DEN. & REV. SEC.
11 OCT. 1934
L.S.G. BRANCH
16 OCT. 1934
L.S.G. BRANCH

To

M.Venkatanaranappa, Esq.,B.A.,
Secretary to Government,
Development Department, Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.L. 2284 dated the 26/27th September 1934 requesting for the Number and Date of the Government Crder under which Village Panchayet works are exempt from the levy of Tools and plant charges etc., I have the honour to furnish herewith a copy of the same. The Crder is of 1927 and not of 1932.

This was called for in connection with the Cuddy Water & Saluva Kankanahalli Tk. This will be placed in the enclosed file from 17.X.34

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Director of Health.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OFMYSORE

General and Revenue Departments.

G.O.No.L. 7136-45/V.P. 1-26-12 dated 9th April 1927.

Levy of charge from Village Pancheyets for Supervision of works.

Directs that there should be no _____ done by Government agency until further order:

Read:-

The following rule made under Section 65 of the Mysore Village Pancheyet Regulation, 1926, issued under Notification No. L. 3606/L.B. 26-26-101, dated 3rd December 1926:-

"37 The Supervision of the public works requiring special skill not at the disposal of the Panchayet and executed at its cost may be entrusted to such agency and on such remuneration not exceeding 10 per cent of the outlay as the Government may, from time to time, determine generally or specially in any particular case."

Order No. L. 7136-45/V.P. 1-26-12 dated 9th April 1927

Government are pleased to direct that in view of the fact that the Panchayets are in an infant stage and may not be in a condition to meet the expenditure on account of such agency, no charge be levied from the Panchayets for supervision of works done by Government agency until further orders.

Sd.N.Madhava Rao
Chief Secretary to Government.

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts,
The Comptroller to Government.
The Chief Engineer of Mysore.

"C O P Y"

Director of Health.

L. 2946 — 1.8.37

VP 72-32 — 9

G. R. S. G/55]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Continuation sheet draft form.)

9 23/10/34

To

The Revenue Commr. C
Mysore

Sir,

In continuation of
this office letter No. L 7
/ VP 72-32 — 8, dated
the 30th July 1934 in
matter of the wa
to Talur village, K
Taluk, I am directe
to forward herewith
four petitions a
of municipal note no
C. 160-4 dated 74
of the speech of the
on the subject

I have

Suggesting a
guidance the
feasibility of
adopting a
cheaper scheme in
view of the small
population of the
village and the
necessity

for Secy to Govt
Home Dept

WE 2230—GPB—1,00,000—23-7-20

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. L. 2946/VP.72-32-9

Dated, Bangalore, ~~13th October~~ 23rd *193*4

From

The *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Development Department.*

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No.L.705/VP.72-32-8 dated the 30th July 1934 in the matter of the water supply to Satnur village, Kankanahalli Taluk, I am directed to forward herewith for favour of your opinion a copy of the un-official note No.O.C.160-4 dated 14th September 1934 of the Director of Health regarding the feasibility of adopting a cheaper scheme in view of the small population of the village and the necessity to minimise the cost.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

Un-official Note No.C.160-4 dated 14th September 1934,
from the Director of Health.

The present estimate of Rs.9,500/- provides for water supply from a dug well 15' deep and 8' diameter, water being pumped from this well through a 2" galvanized main to an elevated tank close to the village. It is the 4000' of 2" galvanized main that takes up a good bit of money. If therefore a bore-well is sunk in the village, it will save on the piping. Of course, a bore well is a matter of speculation. But there is no reason why it should not be successful. A bore well scheme will cost Rs.5,500/-. Details are as under.

1. Bore well 150' deep	..	Rs.1,000/-
2. 5 H.P. Engine and pump	...	Rs.1,500/-
3. Zinc sheet shed.	..	Rs. 500/-
4. Erection of machinery	..	Rs. 500/-
5. Distribution providing for 8 to 10 taps.	..	Rs.1,500/-
6. 3000 gallon capacity elevated tank.	..	Rs. 500/-
	Total Rs.	5,500/-

As regards levy of tools and plant charges, there is a Govemment Order passed in 1932 under which Village Panchayet works are exempt from this levy. It is therefore not included in the estimate.

The District Board is realising a good return from the weekly shandy in Sathnur. Their promise to pay Rs.3,000/- towards water supply scheme is therefore fully justified.

The Village Panchayet has to find Rs.2,500/- The Panchayet may be asked to pay Rs.1,500/- from their funds and the balance of Rs.1,000/- made from the State grant under 30. Grants for Public Improvements, drinking water supply to villages.

Aswathaya

for Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

4035
L

No. C.2158/33-34

Executive

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Dated Bangalore, 4th March *193* 5.

VP 72-32—10

5 MAR 1935

FROM

N. MADHAVA RAU, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

GEN. DEPT. 6 MAR 1935

To L. S. G. BRANCH.

M. Venkatanaranappa, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department, Bangalore.

Scheme of water supply to Satnur village in the Kankanhalli taluk.

SIR,

With reference to the marginally noted letters received from you on the above subject, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of letter No.C2.(a) 1215/32-33 dated 15th February 1935 received from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalor District forwarding a revised estimate for Rs 7,200/- and to recommend that in the circumstances reported by the Deputy Commissioner the scheme proposed may be sanctioned.

1. Letter No.705/V.P.72-32-8 dated 30th July 1934.
2. Letter No.L.2946/V.P.72-33-9 dated 13th/23rd October '34

2. The connected records with the revised estimate which has been countersigned by the Deputy Commissioner accompany for reference

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

G. Rudrappa
Assistant Commissioner,
For Revenue Commissioner.
Absent from the station.

Letter No.C2.(a) 1215/32-33 dated 15-2-1935, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

Sathnur village, Kankanhalli taluk- Water supply to -

With reference to correspondence ending with your memo No.C.2158/33 dated 28-10-1934 regarding water supply to Sathnur Village Panchayet, I have the honour to state that although the alternative scheme of supply by sinking a bore-well looks a comparatively cheaper one, I am of opinion that having regard to the peculiar (conditions of the Village i.e. it is not desirable to ...) scheme which is likely to be somewhat risky. I have had a discussion with the Sanit Engineer on the 12th instant and he agrees with me that the original scheme recommended by me may be adopted. On a further examination o the estimate it was found that it was capable of reduction in certain particulars and accordingly the Sanitary Engineer has now sent a revised estimate amounting to Rs 7200/- which is herewith enclosed.

As regards the ways and means of meeting the cost on account of this project, I may state that the District Board have already agreed to bear a third of the estimated cost not exceeding Rs 3000/- and therefore a sum of Rs 2400/- will be made available by them for this purpose. The balance of the estimate viz. Rs 4800/- has to be met by the Village Panchayet and the Government in equal shares. The Panchayet has already agreed by a resolution to bear a third of the total cost of the scheme. It has now at its credit a sum of Rs 4182/- and it will therefore be possible for the panchayet to meet its quota of the cost viz Rs 2400/-.

As regards the balance of Rs 2400/- I am examining the feasibility of withdrawing the grants made to some of the Taluks under Drinking Water wells and under Rural Reconstruction during the current vear and shall provide for this purpose as much, as can be conveniently made available. The balance of the amount, if any, that will be required towards the Government share of the Scheme will be made available from the grants to be provided in the next year's budget. In the meanwhile, the scheme may be taken on hand immediately and pushed through with the funds that will be made available

by the District Board and the Village Panchayet.

During my recent visit to the place, I found that considerable difficulty was being felt for water. As this scheme has been pending for a very long time and keen difficulty is likely to be felt unless some action is taken immediately, I request that sanction of Government may be kindly obtained to this scheme.

As regards the maintenance charges on account of the scheme, it is understood that it will amount to Rs 300/-. The District Board has already agreed to bear one half of this cost and the other half can easily be defrayed by the Village Panchayet, out of its normal income of Rs 577/- and the additional income that it is likely to get by the imposition of an optional water tax.

The entire file of correspondence is enclosed. The estimate is duly countersigned. I request the favour of very early orders in the matter.

C o p y

G. Rudrappa

For Revenue Commissioner.

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

7 copies

Diary. No of letter replied to .....

Section VI

File No. 72-32

Serial No. 11.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 14-5- 1935.

Signed by Asst A V A

Read— Correspondence ending with letter C 9158/33-34 dated 4th March 1935 from the Revenue Commr in Mysore forwarding for sanction a revised ~~estimate~~ Order thereon No. amounting to Rs 7200/- for the water supply scheme of Satnur village. Kankanahalli Taluk together with proposals for financing the same

Y. O. note no C 160/1

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

rated Rs. 3.35 from the
Director of Health recommending
sanction to the Scheme in question
order no:— L7856-60
The estimate for Rs 7209
for the Scheme of water supply to
Satnur village, Kankambatti
Taluk is sanctioned, the cost
thereof being met in equal
proportions by the Distt Board, Bhne,
the ~~local~~ village Panchayat and
the Govt. As regards the
Govts' share, the D.C. Bhne Distt,
is requested to make the amount
available out of the allotment given
to the Distt for D. W. Wells List of Enclosure
Rural Re~~construction~~ during the current & next years.
2) No. ~~Govt~~ Assistance, tools & plant
charges need be levied in view
G.O. No L 7136-45/RP. 1-26-1289.4. Copied by
3) ~~As regards~~ The annual & maintenance Examined by
charges are estimated at Rs 30/- per
(Recurring)
the Distt Board, Bhne will bear one half of it
WD.0185—GPB—15,000—13-8-34
while the other half will be met by the

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

~~local~~ village Panchayats

4). The D. C. Bhimavaram

Dist is requested to
Collect the cultivable waste Dist Board VP
arrange to place the
~~the~~ ~~above~~ amount required for the work
at the disposal of the Bureau
of Sanitary Engineering
who will start
and ~~finish~~ complete the work
very early

MM.

Legislative Dept
after 3/5 Mr D

To

The Revenue Commr
& D.C. Bhimavaram

with the request
to direct Health
to complete the work
& President, D.L.B.
(Mrs) the D.C.

WD 0436—GPB—10,000—17-10-33

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read —

Correspondence ending with letter No. C. 2158/33-34, dated the 4th March 1935 from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore forwarding for sanction a revised estimate amounting to Rs. 7200/- for the water supply scheme of Sathur Village, Kankanhalli Taluk together with proposals for financing the said work.

Unofficial Note No. C. 160-22 dated 20th March 1935 from the Director of Health recommending sanction to the scheme in question

Order No. F.7836-60

VP74-1-7

Dated: Bangalore, the 14th May 1935.

The estimate for Rs. 7200/- for the scheme of water supply to Sathur Village, Kankanhalli Taluk is sanctioned, the cost thereof being met in equal proportions by the District Board, Bangalore the Village Panchayet and the Government. As regards the Government's share, the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, is requested to make the amount available out of the allotment given to the District for drinking water wells and rural reconstruction during the current and next year.

2. No Establishment, tools and plant charges need be levied in view of Government Order No. L. 7136-45/V.P. 1-26-12 dated 9th April 1927.

The average maintenance charges are estimated at Rs. 300/- and the District Board, Bangalore, will bear one-half while the other half will be met by the Village Panchayet.

4. The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, is requested to arrange for to collect the contributions from the District Board and the Village Panchayet and place the amount at the disposal of the Bureau of Sanitary Engineering who will start and complete the work as early as possible.

as possible.

A. Venkata Rao.
Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District. with records.
The Director of Health in Mysore.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.
The President, District Board, Bangalore.
(Through the Deputy Commissioner)

Agn/7